Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,735	DOERNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Marlin Knight</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 November 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: all pending			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Hellich			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr Knight agreed to an Examiner's amendment to correct minor informalities in the claims (correcting the dependencies of claims 5 and 11). Mr. Knight also agreed to consider filing a terminal disclaimer over newly allowed application no. 10/850,735. The examiner indicated that a terminal disclaimer would overcome the need to send out an Office action with an obviousness-type double patenting rejection of the present claims in view of 10/850,735...

HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER